| т | e IT Performance Management & Capacity Planning Company |
|--|--|
| | |
| | Customer VMWare |
| | Performance Check |
| | |
| | |
| Author: | Walter Verhoeven |
| Author: Doc. Ref.: | Walter Verhoeven customer_vmware_perf_check.odt |
| Author: Doc. Ref.: Version: | Walter Verhoeven customer_vmware_perf_check.odt 1.0 |
| Author: Doc. Ref.: Version: Date: | Walter Verhoeven customer_vmware_perf_check.odt 1.0 27 April 2017 |

I. Document History

| Version | Date | Name | Description |
|---------|------------|--------------|-------------|
| 1.0 | 27/04/2017 | W. Verhoeven | First Copy |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

II. <u>Stakeholders</u>

| Company | Responsible Stakeholder |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| customer | Mr. X |
| customer | Mr. Y |
| CREATIVE Associates | Walter Verhoeven |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

CREATIVE Associates

Donderveldstraat 52 1651 Lot/Beersel Belgium

III. Contents

Table of Contents

| 1.MANAGEMENT SUMMARY | 4 |
|---------------------------------|----|
| 1.1Introduction | 4 |
| 2.RECOMMENDATIONS & REMARKS | 5 |
| 2.1In General | 5 |
| 2.1Attention Points | 5 |
| 3.OVERALL VMWARE INFRASTRUCTURE | 7 |
| 3.1Cluster Level Changes | 8 |
| 4.CPU RESOURCE | 9 |
| 4.1Cluster Level | 9 |
| 4.2Resource Pool | 9 |
| 4.1ESX Host Level | 10 |
| 4.1ESX VM Level | 14 |
| 5.MEMORY RESOURCE | 22 |
| 5.1Cluster Level | 22 |
| 5.1ESX Host Level | 22 |
| 5.1ESX VM Level | 24 |
| 6.I/O RESOURCE | 27 |
| 6.1ESX Host Level | 27 |
| 6.1ESX VM Level | |
| 7.NETWORK RESOURCE | |
| 7.1ESX Host Level | 31 |
| 7.1ESX VM Level | |

1. <u>Management Summary</u>

1.1 Introduction

At customer a VMware infrastructure has been set-up for internal company use. It is a similar solution as deployed at customer sites.

customer internal staff and customers complain about intermittent slowness of interactive applications.

As such we have been asked to provide figures for the performance behaviour of this environment and possibly a root cause too. In order to accomplish this we deployed our TeamQuest Vityl Monitor solution on March the 10th and collected data until March the 30th. The smallest data point granularity is 5 minutes.

The data at customer has been stored in a PostgreSQL database which has been exported and loaded into our lab for further off-line analysis.

At the same time this exercise is used to check if our performance solution Vityl Monitor provides sufficient metrics/detail in order to investigate this issue.

We asked a date timed list of issues and VM system names for correlation purposes but did not receive anything until today.

So this document provides information in regards to the performance behaviour of the customer VMware infrastructure for the period 10th to 30th March/17 and some recommendations & remarks.

2. <u>Recommendations & Remarks</u>

2.1 In General

After looking at this VMware performance data for the period of 10th to 30th March/17 we can state that the LAN, I/O Subsystem and Memory do not introduce a performance issue into the current infrastructure due to the following elements:

- The ESX Host CPU resources are never used more than 22% so sufficient power. There are about 124 VM's with +/-42 per ESX Host.
- ESX-1/2/3 have the same chip type installed, 2 chips with each 12 cores and 2 threads if enabled. So ESX-1/2 have each 48 LCPU's while ESX-2 only 24 as the multi thread option has been disabled.
- The VM's show a medium vCPU load with peaks up to 60%.
- The VM's are not blocked due to CPU limitations.
- The co-vCPU time is very low meaning that the VM's are not blocked on getting their second vCPU.
- The%vcpu_ready activity is also small so no VM's blocked on getting a physical CPU (core).
- The LAN bandwidth utilization remains below the 1Gbit theoretical limitation per nic. Overall LAN activity is quite small.
- There where no LAN packets dropped nor errors nor frames with unknown protocols received.
- The I/O subsystem shows, apart from some sporadic peaks, a latency around 5 MSec which is excellent.
- Sufficient free memory as the maximum utilization is about 60% on the ESX Hosts. The total installed memory is about 727 Gbytes which is 255,87 GBytes per ESX Host.
- The VM's consume 30% to 40% of their memory with some peaks up to 90%.
- No "swapin", "swapout", "swapwait" (The amount of time in seconds the virtual machine was waiting for swap activity), was measured.

2.1 Attention Points

Although in general the infrastructure looks fine we saw some strange behaviour that must be investigated further:

- The I/O subsystem on ESX-01 shows an increased maxTotalLatency as of March the 20th. In general the maxTotalLatency is higher when comparing with to the two other hosts ESX-02 & ESX-03.
- The CPU latency, representing the percentage of time the virtual machine was ready to run but was not scheduled to run because of physical CPU resource contention, is quite high. In fact it contains "cpu ready", "swapwait" and "power regulation (C-state)". So If your "cpu ready" is ok, and "swap wait" is 0, then it is the power regulation. So we advise to do the following in order to try to decrease it:

ESXi 6.0 offers the following power policy options

- High performance
 - > This power policy maximizes performance, using no power management features.
- Balanced
 - This power policy (the default in ESXi 6.0) is designed to reduce host power consumption while having little or no impact on performance.
- Low power
 - > This power policy is designed to more aggressively reduce host power consumption at the risk of reduced performance.
- Custom
 - This power policy starts out the same as Balanced, but allows for the modification of individual parameters.

Choose High Performance to disable power management and adapt the Host BIOS so that the power setting shows "OS Control".

• The memory latency is also high but could be related to the CPU latency so see the impact on this metric after the power policy change.

| VMWare Performance Chec |
|-------------------------|
|-------------------------|

3. Overall VMware Infrastructure

The infrastructure consists of a cluster in Wavre with ID "domain-c26" located in the datacenter "customer" with ID "datacenter-21". There are eight data stores.



There are three ESX Hosts managed by a vCenter named "chimay".



There are 3 active ESX Hosts and 124 VM's.

| Cluster Resource Allocation 10 mrt/17 00:00 - 31 mrt/17 00:00 (10 minute) | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|------------------------------|
| < Time | < vCenter | < Cluster | < Clusterid | < Datacenter | < DatacenterId | < activeHosts | total∨Ms |
| 10 mrt/17 16:00 | chimay | Wavre | domain-c26 | | datacenter-21 | 3 | 124 |
| 10 mrt/17 16:10 chimay Wavre domain-c26 datacenter-21 3 124 | | | | | | 124 | |
| Figure 3-3: Cluster Resources | | | | | | | |

There is only one resource pool "ESX Agents" named "resgroup-10205". But seems that this is not fully configured yet.

Version 1.0

customer Infrastructure

3.1 Cluster Level Changes

When checking the activity on VM's we see that there where no VM's moved from one ESX server to an other one.



The number of VM's remained quite stable too.



These VM's are distributed as following over the different ESX Hosts.

| re.Host Configuration 10 mrt/17 00:00 - 31 mrt/17 00:00 | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|---------------|-----|--------------|----------------|---|----------|
| < vCenter | < Cluster | < ClusterId | < Host | | < Datacenter | < Datacenterid | < | total∨Ms |
| chimay | Wavre | domain-c26 | esx-001.wavre | | | datacenter-21 | | 42 |
| chimay | Wavre | domain-c26 | esx-002.wavre | | | datacenter-21 | | 40 |
| chimay | Wavre | domain-c26 | esx-003.wavre | | | datacenter-21 | | 42 |
| 1.1 | 1.6.1 | 1 1 00 | 001 | · . | a | | | 40 |
| | Figure 3-6: VM Distribution Per ESX Host | | | | | | | |

4. CPU Resource

Here we look at the different levels at the configuration & consumption of the CPU resource.

4.1 Cluster Level

The effective CPU resources (in MHz) available to run virtual machines is shown below. This is the aggregated effective resource level from all running hosts. Hosts that are in maintenance mode or are unresponsive are not counted. Resources used by the VMware Service Console are not included in the aggregate.

This value represents the amount of resources available for the root resource pool for running virtual machines. We have a total of 147.441 MHz.



We do not have the percentage "%busy" of elapsed CPU time the processors were busy across all of the virtual machines in the Vmware cluster since the Vmware Distributed Resource Scheduler (DRS) is probably disabled for the VMware cluster.

But we will derive this activity a bit further in the Host Level section.

4.2 Resource Pool

The activity is very low since it is not fully configured, seems to be the root resource pool.



customer Infrastructure

4.1 ESX Host Level

These three servers have the same nr. of physical cores but not the same nr. of logical CPU's although these servers have the same CPU chip type.

| Host CPU Summary 21 mrt/17 00:00 - 22 mrt/17 00:00 | | | | | | (24 hour) | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| < System | < vCenter | < Cluster | < ClusterId | < Datacenter | < Datacenterld | < online_cpus | <pre>< online_cpus_phys</pre> |
| esx-001.wavre | chimay | Wavre | domain-c26 | | datacenter-21 | 48.000 | 24.000 |
| esx-002.wavre | chimay | Wavre | domain-c26 | | datacenter-21 | 24.000 | 24.000 |
| esx-003.wavre | chimay | Wavre | domain-c26 | | datacenter-21 | 48.000 | 24.000 |

This is due to the fact that the Core Multi Thread has been disabled on server "ESX-002".

| HINV.Summary 21 mrt/17 00:00 - 22 mrt/17 00:00 | | | | | 6/6 | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| < System | < CPU Chips | < CPU Count | < CPU Speed | < CPU Type | < Core Multi-Thread | < Cores Per Chip | < Logical CPU Count |
| esx-001.wa | 2 | 24 | 2297.34 MHz | Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E5-2670 v3 @ 2.30GHz | Enabled | 12 | 48 |
| esx-002.wa | 2 | 24 | 2297.34 MHz | Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E5-2670 v3 @ 2.30GHz | Disabled | 12 | 24 |
| esx-003.wa | 2 | 24 | 2297.34 MHz | Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E5-2670 v3 @ 2.30GHz | Enabled | 12 | 48 |
| | - | - · | | | _ | · - | |
| | | | Figu | re 4-4: ESX Server Cores & LCP | °U's | | |

The effective ESX host activity is quite small, the red line represents the cluster effective CPU resources available. So largely below the maximum.



As such the load in percentage is quite low, CPU resource never used more than 22%.

Version 1.0

VMWare Performance Check customer Infrastructure



When verifying the load by LCPU for the whole period we see that it is evenly spread with few active days. On "ESX-001" we have higher activity between Thursday 23^{th} 11h – Friday 24^{th} 11h.



On "ESX-002" we have higher activity between the Saturday 11^{th} 5h – Sunday 12^{th} 14h.

Version 1.0

customer Infrastructure



On "ESX-003" no increased load was found.



The following chart shows "costop" representing the amount of time in seconds a Symmetric Multi-Processing (SMP) virtual machine was ready to run, but was delayed due to console virtual CPU (co-vCPU) scheduling contention.

In fact it is the additional time needed getting the next vCPU's after receiving the first vCPU. So will only occur on VM's with multiple vCPU's configured.

customer Infrastructure

Version 1.0



Apart for some sporadic small peaks these values are very low which indicates that there is no contention in acquiring extra vCPU resources. The goal is to remain under the 3% which is the case here. 1 Sec of 5 Min is about 0,33%. The next three charts show each ESX Host separately.







The "latency" activity, percentage of time the virtual machines where ready to run but not scheduled to run because of physical CPU resource contention, is shown below. We refer to the next section for more information.



Bottom line there are latency peaks up to 1% and higher which is not good.

4.1 ESX VM Level

As already mentioned there are about 124 VM's configured. First we look at the "%vcpu_busy", the percentage of the virtual machines virtual processors used for the period $13^{th} - 24^{th}$ March/17 without Sat/Sun.





Overall small load.



First week is more active compared to the second one with medium load and few peaks up to 67% for VM "Monk-021" and VM "Cash-3" 86%.





Here also medium load with some peaks up to 60% for VM "Cash-03".

The "maxlimited", representing the amount of time in seconds the virtual machine was ready to run but did not run because it reached the maximum CPU limit setting, is shown below.



It reveals that the VM's are not blocked due to a CPU limitation.

Next we look at the "costop" activity representing the amount of time in seconds a Symmetric Multi-Processing (SMP) virtual machine was ready to run, but was delayed due to console virtual CPU (covCPU) scheduling contention.

Remember it is the additional time needed getting the next vCPU's after receiving the first vCPU. So will only occur on VM's with multiple vCPU's configured.











These are all quite low too so this excludes again CPU scheduling issues.

Now we look at the "%vcpu_ready" activity representing the percentage of time the virtual machine was ready to perform an operation but had to wait for a physical processor. In fact it is the time that the VM waits in a ready-to-run state (meaning it has work to do) to be scheduled on one or more of the physical CPUs by the hypervisor.

It is generally normal for VM's to have small values for CPU Ready Time accumulating even if the hypervisor is not over subscribed or under heavy activity, it's just the nature of shared scheduling in virtualization. For SMP VM's with multiple vCPU's the amount of ready time will generally be higher than for VM's with fewer vCPU's since it requires more resources to schedule/co-schedule the VM when necessary and each of the vCPU's accumulates the time separately.









These are all quite low so this excludes CPU scheduling issues.

Next we look at the "latency" representing the percentage of time the virtual machine was ready to run but was not scheduled to run because of physical CPU resource contention. In fact it contains "cpu ready", "swapwait" and "power regulation (C-state)". So If your "cpu ready" is ok, and "swap wait" is 0, then it is the power regulation.

Version 1.0











These latency figures are quite high and are in this case probably due to the processor power management implemented either by ESXi/ESX or by the server hardware. Certain applications that are very sensitive to processing speed latencies may show less than expected performance when processor power management features are enabled.

It may be necessary to turn off ESXi/ESX and server hardware power management features to achieve the best performance for such applications.

Disabling power management usually results in more power being consumed by the system, especially when it is lightly loaded. The majority of applications benefit from the power savings offered by power management, with little or no performance impact.

Therefore, if disabling power management does not realize any increased performance, It is recommended that power management be re-enabled to reduce power consumption:

ESXi 6.0 offers the following power policy options

- High performance
 - > This power policy maximizes performance, using no power management features.
- Balanced
 - This power policy (the default in ESXi 6.0) is designed to reduce host power consumption while having little or no impact on performance.
- · Low power
 - This power policy is designed to more aggressively reduce host power consumption at the risk of reduced performance.
- Custom
 - This power policy starts out the same as Balanced, but allows for the modification of individual parameters.

Choose High Performance to disable power management and adapt the Host BIOS so that the power setting shows "OS Control".

5. Memory Resource

Here we look at the different levels at the configuration & consumption of the Memory resource.

5.1 Cluster Level

The effective memory representing the total amount of memory in megabytes of all of the hosts within a VMware cluster that are available for the virtual machine memory and the virtual machine overhead memory. There is about 727 GBytes available.

Below we see the "memAvailable" representing the total amount of memory in megabytes that is available to satisfy the reservation for all of the virtual machines and resource pools in the cluster.

Second parameter is "memOverhead" representing the total amount of memory in megabytes that has been used to satisfy the reservation requirements of all of the descendants of the running virtual machines in the cluster.

The third one is "memReserved" representing the total amount of memory in megabytes that has been used to satisfy the reservation requirements of all of the descendants of the virtual machines and resource pools in the cluster.



There is sufficient available memory.

5.1 ESX Host Level

These three servers have the same amount of physical memory installed.

| | HINV.3 | Summary | 10 mrt/17 00:00 - 31 mrt/17 00:00 | | | |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| < | Time | < System | < Memory | < Memory KB | < Memory MB | |
| | 10 mrt/17 16:10 | esx-001.wavre. | 255.87 GBytes | 268302380 | 262014 | |
| | 10 mrt/17 16:10 | esx-002.wavre. | 255.87 GBytes | 268302380 | 262014 | |
| | 10 mrt/17 16:10 | esx-003.wavre. | 255.87 GBytes | 268302380 | 262014 | |
| | 44 | a and 004 meaning a solid law | OFF OF OD ALL | 000000000 | 00004.4 | |
| | | Figure 5-2: | ESX Server Mem | ory | | |

| VMWare Performance Check | Page 23 of 33 |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| customer Infrastructure | Version 1.0 |

The %usage representing the percentage of memory usage over the collection interval looks as following.



Remains below 60% leaving sufficient headroom for more load.

The memory latency representing the percentage of time the virtual machine was waiting to access swapped or compressed memory is un existing which is excellent.

We have the same figures for the "swapin", "swapout" & "swapused" parameters.

The amount of time in seconds virtual machines where waiting for swap page-ins is shown below. CPU swap wait is included in CPU wait. So definitely no Memory issue here.



| VMWare | Performance | Check |
|---------------|-------------|-------|
| | | |

Version 1.0

5.1 ESX VM Level

The memory usage by VM is shown below.







Walter Verhoeven – CREATIVE Associates

| VMWare Performance Check | Page 25 of 33 |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| customer Infrastructure | Version 1.0 |

The memory usage is in general around 30% to 40% with some small peaks up to 90% so no real issues. Next we look at the "latency" representing the percentage of time the virtual machine was waiting to access swapped or compressed memory.







Walter Verhoeven – CREATIVE Associates

| VMWare Performance Check | Page 26 of 33 |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| customer Infrastructure | Version 1.0 |

This "latency" is too high and should be investigated further, see impact of changes for the CPU "latency".

No "swapin", "swapout", "swapwait" (The amount of time in seconds the virtual machine was waiting for swap page-ins.

CPU swap wait is included in CPU wait) nor "memctl" (the amount of memory in megabytes currently reclaimed using vmmemctl for the virtual machine) activity was measured.

6. <u>I/O Resource</u>

Here we look at the different levels at the configuration & consumption of the I/O subsystem.

6.1 ESX Host Level

We first look at the "maxTotalLatency" representing the highest latency value across all disks used by the host. Latency measures the time taken to process a SCSI command issued by the guest OS to the virtual machine.



Host "ESX-01" encountered one severe peak on the 26^{th} 22h40. Apart from that peak we have a quite good latency of 5 to 10MSec up to the 20^{th} afterwards it increased towards 25 MSec which is rather high for a SAN I/O subsystem, see a zoomed chart below.



| VMWare Performance Check | Page 28 of 33 |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| customer Infrastructure | Version 1.0 |

Both the hosts "ESX-02/03" have an excellent low "maxTotalLatency" of about 5 MSec which is excellent.





When looking at the average latency per host & devices representing the average amount of time in milliseconds taken to complete a command request (queue and disk service time) by the host system disk, we see a very well performing I/O subsystem since it is around 5MSec.

Version 1.0

customer Infrastructure







Version 1.0

customer Infrastructure

6.1 ESX VM Level

Here we look at the average latency per VM & block devices.







Walter Verhoeven – CREATIVE Associates

7. Network Resource

Here we look at the LAN activity.

7.1 ESX Host Level

Looking at the throughput of all LAN cards per ESX Host we see that there is sufficient bandwidth left.



We have a small activity with some peaks but still remaining below the theoretical limit of 1Gbit/Sec (red line).

1 Host Network Device Dropped Packets 10 mrt/17 00:00 - 31 mrt/17 00:00 (5 minute) 100 90 80 70 60 50 ≿ 40 30 20 10 0 5 mrt/1 7 00:00 5 mrt/1 7 12:00 0 mrt/17 11:40 0 mrt/17 23:40 1 mrt/17 11:45 1 mrt/17 23:45 3 mrt/17 11:50 -3 mrt/17 23:55 -15 mt/17 00:00 -15 mt/17 12:00 -16 mt/17 00:00 -16 mt/17 12:05 -17 mt/17 00:05 -17 mt/17 12:10 -) mt//7 12:15 -0 mt//7 00:20 -0 mt//7 12:20 -1 mt//7 00:25 -1 mt//7 12:25 -2 mt//7 00:30 -2 mrt/17 12:30 3 mrt/17 00:30 5 mt//7 12:45 -5 mt//7 00:45 -5 mt//7 13:45 -7 mt//7 01:50 -7 mt//7 13:50 -11:45 00:10 12:15 00:15 00:40 02:00 14:05 23:55 23:50 00:35 01:55 02:00 14:00 mrt/17 11:55 mrt/17 12:35 mrt/17 12:40 mrt/17 13:55 8 ä mrt/17 mrt/17 (mrt/17 (mrt/17 (mrt/17 55555 2220 2 2 4 esx-001.wavre.r dropped esx-002.wavi .be:dropped
esx-003.wavr be:dropped Figure 7-2: ESX Host LAN Packets Dropped

There where no LAN packets dropped nor errors nor frames with unknown protocols received.

| VMWare Performance Check | Page 32 of 33 |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| customer Infrastructure | Version 1.0 |
| | |









So this resource is doing well.

Version 1.0

customer Infrastructure

7.1 ESX VM Level

We look at the bandwidth usage again but now for all VM's per ESX Host.







As we already know, no issues with this resource.

-END-